



1 By Supervisors Sanfelippo and Rice

2 FILE NO. 10-62

3 **A RESOLUTION**

4 To amend Section 201.24 of the Milwaukee County Code of General
5 Ordinances to reduce the annual pension service credit multiplier for elected
6 officials from two percent to one and six tenths percent for future years.

7 WHEREAS, the 2010 Adopted Budget included employee wage, health and
8 pension benefit modifications that are anticipated to result in significant
9 expenditure reductions to help improve Milwaukee County's fiscal outlook; and

10 WHEREAS in December 2009 the County Board of Supervisors adopted the
11 recommended employee wage and benefit modifications for employees not
12 represented by a collective bargaining agreement, memorializing the 2010
13 Adopted Budget actions (File No. 09-471); and

14 WHEREAS, the deductible and co-payment increases in the employee
15 health package fully apply to Milwaukee County elected officials, although the
16 salary related components of the package cannot be applied to elected officials in
17 term; and

18 WHEREAS, elected officials and deputy sheriffs were exempted from a key
19 component of the benefit modification package that reduced the annual pension
20 service credit multiplier for non-represented members of the ERS for all future
21 years from 2.0% to 1.6%; and

22 WHEREAS, while these wage and pension benefit modifications will
23 initially be applied only to non-represented employees, the modifications are
24 expected to be included in the County's proposals during the collective bargaining
25 process in order to extend these benefits to employees who are represented by a
26 collective bargaining unit; and

27 WHEREAS, inasmuch as elected officials are relying on employee wage
28 and benefit modifications to improve Milwaukee County's fiscal situation, it is fair
29 and equitable for elected officials to also accept the decrease in the pension
30 multiplier recently enacted for other employees; and

31 WHEREAS, the attached changes to Section 201.24 of the Milwaukee
32 County Code of General Ordinances (MCGO) extend the reduction of the pension
33 multiplier from 2.0% to 1.6% to Milwaukee County elected officials covered by
34 the Milwaukee County Employee Retirement System for all future earned pension
35 service credit; and

36 WHEREAS, the proposed changes to Section 201.24 of the MCGO have
37 been referred to the pension fund actuary whose actuarial analysis indicates the
38 changes will decrease the accrued liability and the normal actuarial cost; and

39 WHEREAS, the Pension Study Commission reviewed the pension fund
40 actuary's report on _____, 2010 and has recommended the County Board adopt
41 the proposed changes to Section 201.24 of the MCGO (Vote X-X); now therefore,

42 BE IT RESOLVED, that the Milwaukee County Board of Supervisors hereby
43 amends Section 201.24 of the Milwaukee County Code of General Ordinances by
44 adopting the following,

45 **AN ORDINANCE**

46 The County Board of Supervisors of the County of Milwaukee does ordain
47 as follows:

48 **SECTION 1.** Section 201.24 (5.1)(4) of the General Ordinances of Milwaukee
49 County, is amended as follows:

50 **5.1. Normal pension.**

51 (4) A member who is an elected official whose continuous membership began
52 prior to January 1, 1982 and who meets the requirements for a normal
53 pension shall receive an amount equal to two and one-half (2 1/2) percent of
54 his final average salary multiplied by the number of his years of service as an
55 elected official. A member who is an elected official whose continuous
56 membership began after January 1, 1982 and who meets the requirements
57 for a normal pension shall receive an amount equal to two (2) percent of his
58 final average salary multiplied by the number of his years of service as an
59 elected official. Regardless of when membership began, an elected official
60 shall receive an amount equal to one and six-tenths (1.6) percent of his final
61 average salary multiplied by the number of his years of service rendered on
62 and after [date of passage and publication] as an elected official.

63

64 **SECTION 2.** Section 201.24 (5.15) of the General Ordinances of Milwaukee
65 County, is amended as follows:

66 **5.15. Recruitment and retention incentive effective January 1, 2001.**

67 The provisions of this section shall apply to all members of the employes' retirement
68 system eligible to accrue pension service credit as of January 1, 2001 who are not
69 represented by a collective bargaining unit and file an application for retirement
70 after January 1, 2001. This section shall supercede any provisions of section 5.1 that
71 may conflict with this section. The provisions of this section shall not apply to any

72 member of the employees' retirement system who filed an application for retirement
73 prior to January 1, 2001 which shall be effective on or after January 1, 2001. The
74 provisions of this section shall not apply to members of the employees' retirement
75 system who, as of January 1, 2001, are either eligible for a deferred vested
76 retirement benefit under section 4.5 or are receiving a retirement benefit, unless
77 such members return to a status eligible to accrue additional service credit on or
78 after January 1, 2001. The provisions of this section shall not apply to years of
79 service earned on or after January 1, 2010 by a member who, at the time the service
80 is earned, is not covered by the terms of a collective bargaining agreement, nor shall
81 this section apply to service credit earned on or after [date of passage and
82 publication] by a member and who, at the time service is earned, is not an elected
83 official.

84 (1) If membership in the employees' retirement system initially began on or after
85 January 1, 1982, the following recruitment and retention incentives shall apply:

86 (a) Except for a non-represented deputy sheriff whose membership began prior
87 to July 1, 1995, and elected officials whose membership began on or after
88 March 15, 2002, all pension service credit earned on and after January 1,
89 2001 shall be credited in an amount equal to an additional 0.5 percent of the
90 member's final average salary. For each year of service credit earned after
91 January 1, 2001, eight years of service credit earned prior to January 1, 2001
92 shall be credited at an additional 0.5 percent of the member's final average
93 salary. The additional service credits under this section 5.15(1)(a) shall not
94 apply to any elected official whose membership began prior to March 15,
95 2002 if such elected official consents irrevocably in writing filed with the
96 system to waive the right to receive such additional pension service credits.

97 (b) An employe shall not be eligible for a deferred vested pension if his/her
98 employment is terminated prior to his/her completion of five (5) years of
99 service.

100 (2) Retention incentive bonus. If initial membership in the employees' retirement
101 system began prior to January 1, 1982, or July 1, 1995 for a non-represented
102 Deputy Sheriff, at the time of retirement, the member shall have their final
103 average salary increased by a bonus of 7.5 percent for each year of pension
104 service credit earned after January 1, 2001. The maximum bonus that shall be
105 added to an eligible member's final average salary shall not be more than
106 twenty-five (25) percent. This provision shall not apply to a member of the
107 employees' retirement system who became a member of the system prior to
108 January 1, 1982 and, as of January 1, 2001, is either eligible for a deferred
109 vested benefit under 201.24 (4.5), or is receiving a pension benefit, unless such
110 member returns to a status whereby the member is eligible to earn additional
111 pension service credit on or after January 1, 2001. The retention incentive bonus
112 under this section 5.15(2) shall not apply to any elected official who is otherwise

113 eligible to receive such bonus if such elected official consents irrevocably in
114 writing filed with the system to waive the right to receive such retention
115 incentive bonus.

116 (3) Members who hold positions for which membership in the employes' retirement
117 system is optional and opt for such membership, shall have pension service
118 credit earned after January 1, 2001 credited at two (2) percent. However, such
119 service credit shall not result in a multiplier increase for service credit earned
120 prior to January 1, 2001 nor shall such service credit qualify the member for a
121 retention incentive bonus.

122 The provisions of this section shall not apply to a member of the employes'
123 retirement system who is either eligible for a deferred vested benefit under 201.24
124 (4.5), or is receiving a pension benefit as of January 1, 2001, unless such member
125 returns to active county employment and is eligible to earn additional pension
126 service credit under 201.24.

127 **SECTION 3.** The provisions of this ordinance shall be effective upon passage and
128 publication.

129 ; and

130 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, in the event collective bargaining agreements or
131 arbitration decisions covering a majority of represented County employees include
132 pension modifications that are not in agreement with the terms and provisions of
133 Sections 1 and 2 above, revisions to these ordinances shall be drafted within 90
134 days to match those settled or arbitrated pension modifications, subject to approval
135 of the County Board.

136

MILWAUKEE COUNTY FISCAL NOTE FORM

DATE: January 11, 2010

Original Fiscal Note

Substitute Fiscal Note

SUBJECT: A Resolution amending Section 201.24 of the Milwaukee County Code of General Ordinances to reduce the annual pension service credit multiplier from 2.0% to 1.6% for future years for elected officials.

FISCAL EFFECT:

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No Direct County Fiscal Impact
<input type="checkbox"/> Existing Staff Time Required
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Expenditures
(If checked, check one of two boxes below)
<input type="checkbox"/> Absorbed Within Agency's Budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Not Absorbed Within Agency's Budget
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Operating Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Operating Revenues | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Expenditures
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Capital Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Capital Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Use of contingent funds |
|--|--|

Indicate below the dollar change from budget for any submission that is projected to result in increased/decreased expenditures or revenues in the current year.

	Expenditure or Revenue Category	Current Year	Subsequent Year
Operating Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		
Capital Improvement Budget	Expenditure		
	Revenue		
	Net Cost		

RECEIVED

DESCRIPTION OF FISCAL EFFECT

In the space below, you must provide the following information. Attach additional pages if necessary.

- A. Briefly describe the nature of the action that is being requested or proposed, and the new or changed conditions that would occur if the request or proposal were adopted.
- B. State the direct costs, savings or anticipated revenues associated with the requested or proposed action in the current budget year and how those were calculated.¹ If annualized or subsequent year fiscal impacts are substantially different from current year impacts, then those shall be stated as well. In addition, cite any one-time costs associated with the action, the source of any new or additional revenues (e.g. State, Federal, user fee or private donation), the use of contingent funds, and/or the use of budgeted appropriations due to surpluses or change in purpose required to fund the requested action.
- C. Discuss the budgetary impacts associated with the proposed action in the current year. A statement that sufficient funds are budgeted should be justified with information regarding the amount of budgeted appropriations in the relevant account and whether that amount is sufficient to offset the cost of the requested action. If relevant, discussion of budgetary impacts in subsequent years also shall be discussed. Subsequent year fiscal impacts shall be noted for the entire period in which the requested or proposed action would be implemented when it is reasonable to do so (i.e. a five-year lease agreement shall specify the costs/savings for each of the five years in question). Otherwise, impacts associated with the existing and subsequent budget years should be cited.
- D. Describe any assumptions or interpretations that were utilized to provide the information on this form.

Upon referral, this resolution will be forwarded to the pension fund actuary to determine the 2010 budgetary impact of the proposed amendments. Upon receipt of the actuary's report, a substitute fiscal note will be prepared and appended to this original fiscal note to fully detail the cost or benefit of this proposal.

Department/Prepared By County Board/ Ceschin

Authorized Signature

Rock Ceschin

CHAIRMAN
COUNTY BOARD

Did DAS-Fiscal Staff Review? Yes No

2010 JAN 12 AM 9:12

RECEIVED

¹ If it is assumed that there is no fiscal impact associated with the requested action, then an explanatory statement that justifies that conclusion shall be provided. If precise impacts cannot be calculated, then an estimate or range should be provided.

March 3, 2010

Supervisor Paul M. Cesarz
Chairman
Pension Study Commission
901 N. 9th St.
Milwaukee, WI 53233

RE: Actuary's Review of Proposed Ordinance Amendment to the Employees' Retirement System for Elected Officials

Dear Supervisor Cesarz:

As requested, we have analyzed the actuarial impact on the Milwaukee County Employees' Retirement System of the attached proposed ordinance amendments. If adopted, these amendments would decrease the multiplier from 2.0% to 1.6% for Elected Officials' future service. These changes would go into effect March 18, 2010. That is, all benefit accruals for service after March 18, 2010 would be at the 1.6% multiplier level.

Actuarial Analysis

The ordinance amendments affect current and future Elected Officials, which includes 24 active employees as of January 1, 2009. Assuming that future Elected Officials have similar characteristics as current employees, we have estimated that a decrease in multiplier to this group's future service would decrease the total present value of benefits as of January 1, 2009 from \$2.199B to \$2.198B.

The change in multiplier would decrease the present value of future normal cost as of January 1, 2009 from \$141.35M to \$141.29M. The effect of the multiplier change would be a decrease in the annual contribution from \$30.36M to \$30.33M. Thus, the multiplier change would have the effect of decreasing the annual required contribution by \$30,000.00.

Basis for the Analysis

Unless otherwise noted below, we have based this analysis on the data, assumptions and methods used for the most recently completed valuation, which was as of January 1, 2009. We understand that these proposed amendments would only impact Elected Officials.

Supervisor Paul M. Cesarz
Chairman
Pension Study Commission
March 3, 2010
Page 2

The undersigned is a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meets the Academy's Qualification Standards to issue this Statement of Actuarial Opinion.

Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Larry Langer, ASA, EA, MAAA
Principal, Consulting Actuary

LL:pl
19150/C6882RET01-Review-Amend-Elected Officials.doc

cc: Mark Grady
Marco Ruffini

Milwaukee County Cost Study

Multiplier decrease from 2.0 to 1.6 for Elected Officials future service

Item	For Elected Officials	
	Current Valuation	Multiplier Change - Future Service
Valuation Results		
1. Present Value of Future Benefits		
a) Active Participants	\$ 812,317,967	\$ 812,010,015
b) Participants with Deferred Benefits	72,648,595	72,648,594
c) Participants Receiving Benefits	<u>1,313,759,117</u>	<u>1,313,759,117</u>
d) Total	\$ <u>2,198,725,679</u>	\$ <u>2,198,417,726</u>
2. Present Value of Future Normal Cost	\$ 141,348,691	\$ 141,292,269
3. Actuarial Accrued Liability: (1 - 2)	\$ 2,057,376,988	\$ 2,057,125,457
4. Actuarial Value of Assets	\$ 1,968,518,479	\$ 1,968,518,479
5. Funded Status: (4 / 3)	95.7 %	95.7 %
6. Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability: (3 - 4)	\$ 88,858,509	\$ 88,606,978
7. Normal Cost Rate	8.805 %	8.801 %
8. Normal Cost for the Plan Year	\$ 20,587,867	\$ 20,578,514
Employer Actual Funding Contribution and Annual Required Contribution for Fiscal Year		
9. Actual Funding Contribution Calculated by Actuary		
a) Normal Cost with Interest	\$ 21,395,539	\$ 21,385,819
b) Net Annual Amortization Payments	<u>8,959,996</u>	<u>8,944,299</u>
c) Total Contribution: ((a + b), not less than zero)	\$ <u>30,355,535</u>	\$ <u>30,330,118</u>

1) The cost study above affects only Elected Officials. This means that 24 out of the 4,837 Active members are affected by this change.